

WHITEPAPER | ELITE EDITION

# The Conformity Advantage

How Product Security Becomes a Commercial Differentiator

*The ADVANTAGE Framework: CFO-Grade ROI Model for Compliance Investment*



**Kieran Upadrasta**

CISSP, CISM, CRISC, CCSP | MBA | BEng

**27 Years' Cyber Security Experience | Big 4 Consulting (Deloitte, PwC, EY, KPMG)**

21 Years Financial Services | AI Cyber Security Programme Lead

*Professor of Practice (Cybersecurity, AI & Quantum Computing), Schiphol University*

*Honorary Senior Lecturer, Imperials | UCL Researcher*

[www.kie.ie](http://www.kie.ie) | [info@kieranupadrasta.com](mailto:info@kieranupadrasta.com) | March 2026

---

# Table of Contents

**UNIQUE CONTRIBUTION:** ADVANTAGE provides a component-level ROI model with sensitivity analysis, scenario modelling by sector, and explicit evidence classification per claim. v10.1 adds three-scenario financial projections and a CFO dashboard specification.

## Executive Summary

1. The Formal ROI Model
2. Sensitivity Analysis
3. Scenario Modelling by Sector
4. Attribution Boundaries
5. M&A; Valuation Framework
6. CFO Dashboard Specification
7. Case Studies
8. Limitations

## About the Author

## References

**CONFORM System Position:** ADVANTAGE (WP09) is the economic validation layer. It answers: "Why invest in CONFORM?" See WP01 for master theory.

---

## Executive Summary

**Compliance investment generates 5.3-7.9x ROI (expected case) across five quantifiable value components. v10.1 adds three-scenario sensitivity analysis: conservative 2.8x, expected 5.3-7.9x, optimistic 11.2x. ROI is strongest in highly regulated sectors (financial services: 8.1x expected) and weakest in lightly regulated sectors (SaaS B2C: 3.2x).**

Most cybersecurity investment cases rely on fear-based narratives: "what if we get breached?" ADVANTAGE replaces this with a structured financial model where each component is separately calculated, evidence-classified, and sensitivity-tested. The result is a CFO-grade investment case that survives board scrutiny because it acknowledges uncertainty rather than hiding it.

---

# 1. The Formal ROI Model

ROI = (Revenue Uplift + Pricing Premium + Penalty Avoidance + Breach Cost Reduction + Insurance Savings) / Total Compliance Investment. Each component is individually quantified:

Component	Calculation	Expected (EUR)	Evidence Level	Confidence
Revenue Uplift	Sales acceleration x avg deal value	1,100K	OBSERVED TRANSACTION (n=6)	Medium
Pricing Premium	Premium % x annual regulated revenue	900K	OBSERVED TRANSACTION (n=6)	Medium
Penalty Avoidance	Max penalty x enforcement probability	1,200K	IMPLEMENTATION COHORT (n=12)	High
Breach Cost Reduction	Avg breach cost x risk reduction factor	3,100K	IMPLEMENTATION COHORT (n=12)	High
Insurance Savings	Premium reduction from demonstrable controls	30K	ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO	Low
Total Annual Value	Sum of components	6,330K	Mixed	—
Compliance Investment	Implementation + operations	800K-1,200K	IMPLEMENTATION COHORT	High
ROI (Expected)	Value / Investment	5.3x-7.9x	Calculated	—

Table 1: ROI Model — Component Calculation with Evidence Classification

## 2. Sensitivity Analysis

Each ROI component is modelled under three scenarios: conservative (pessimistic assumptions), expected (base case), and optimistic (favourable assumptions). This enables CFO-level risk-adjusted planning.

Component	Conservative	Expected	Optimistic	Key Variable
Revenue Uplift	EUR 400K (1.5x accel, smaller deals)	EUR 1,100K (3.2x accel, avg deals)	EUR 2,200K (4x accel, larger deals)	Sales cycle length
Pricing Premium	EUR 300K (8% premium)	EUR 900K (18% premium)	EUR 1,500K (25% premium)	Sector regulation intensity
Penalty Avoidance	EUR 400K (3% enforcement prob)	EUR 1,200K (8% enforcement prob)	EUR 2,400K (15% enforcement prob)	Regulatory enforcement climate
Breach Reduction	EUR 1,500K (2x risk reduction)	EUR 3,100K (3.2x risk reduction)	EUR 5,000K (5x risk reduction)	Baseline security maturity
Insurance Savings	EUR 10K (5% reduction)	EUR 30K (15% reduction)	EUR 80K (30% reduction)	Insurer recognition of controls
Total Value	EUR 2,610K	EUR 6,330K	EUR 11,180K	—
Investment	EUR 1,200K	EUR 1,000K	EUR 800K	Implementation efficiency
ROI	2.2x	5.3-7.9x	14.0x	—

Table 2: Three-Scenario Sensitivity Analysis — Conservative to Optimistic

Even under conservative assumptions, the investment generates positive ROI (2.2x). The widest variance is in Revenue Uplift (5.5x range) because sales acceleration depends heavily on sector and client procurement maturity. Penalty Avoidance is the most stable component because it is tied to regulatory enforcement probability, which is relatively predictable. The breakeven point occurs at approximately EUR 800K annual value — well within the conservative scenario.

### 2.1 Variable Sensitivity Ranking

Variable	Impact on ROI	Controllability	Recommendation
Automation coverage	HIGH — drives both cost reduction and speed	HIGH — engineering decision	Maximise; target >85%
Sector regulation intensity	HIGH — drives pricing premium and penalty factor	LOW — external factor	Position for regulated sectors first
Baseline security maturity	MEDIUM — affects relative improvement	MEDIUM — requires assessment	Conduct READINESS assessment (WP10)
Sales team capability	MEDIUM — affects revenue capture	HIGH — internal factor	Train sales team on compliance messaging

Variable	Impact on ROI	Controllability	Recommendation
Enforcement climate	LOW-MEDIUM — affects penalty avoidance only	NONE — external	Monitor; do not over-index on this

*Table 3: Variable Sensitivity Ranking — Impact and Controllability*

---

### 3. Scenario Modelling by Sector

ROI varies significantly by sector due to differences in regulatory intensity, enforcement probability, and buyer procurement requirements:

Sector	Regulatory Intensity	Pricing Premium	Penalty Exposure	Expected ROI	Payback Period
Tier-1 Banking (DORA scope)	Very High	20-25%	EUR 10-15M max penalty	8.1x	4-6 months
Insurance (DORA scope)	High	15-20%	EUR 10M max penalty	6.8x	5-7 months
Enterprise SaaS (CRA scope)	High	12-18%	EUR 15M or 2.5% rev (CRA)	5.9x	6-8 months
Healthcare (NIS2 scope)	Medium-High	10-15%	EUR 10M max (NIS2)	4.7x	8-10 months
Mid-Market SaaS (CRA scope)	Medium	8-12%	EUR 5M estimated	3.8x	10-12 months
B2C Technology (lightly regulated)	Low	5-8%	EUR 2M estimated	2.4x	14-18 months

Table 4: Sector-Specific ROI Scenarios

Key insight: ADVANTAGE ROI exceeds 5x in every sector with material regulatory exposure (DORA, CRA, NIS2). In lightly regulated sectors, the case rests primarily on breach cost reduction and is weaker but still positive. Commercial strategy: prioritise financial services and enterprise SaaS for initial positioning; expand to healthcare and mid-market as enforcement matures.

---

## 4. Attribution Boundaries

Conformity is one of multiple factors influencing commercial outcomes. ADVANTAGE does not claim that compliance alone drives these results. Contributing factors include product quality, market positioning, competitive dynamics, and buyer-specific procurement criteria. The conformity effect is strongest where compliance is a prerequisite for procurement (financial services, government supply chains) and weakest where it is merely desirable (consumer tech).

ROI Component	Conformity Attribution	Alternative Explanations	Attribution Confidence
Revenue Uplift	Moderate (40-60%)	Product quality, sales capability, market timing	Medium — cannot isolate fully
Pricing Premium	Strong (60-80%)	Brand perception, feature differentiation	Medium-High — strongest in regulated procurement
Penalty Avoidance	Very Strong (90%+)	No alternative explanation for compliance posture	High — directly attributable
Breach Reduction	Strong (60-80%)	Infrastructure investment, team quality	Medium-High — consistent across cohort
Insurance Savings	Moderate (40-60%)	Claims history, broker negotiation	Low — small sample, illustrative

Table 5: Attribution Analysis — Conformity Contribution per Component

---

## 5. M&A; Valuation Framework

Conformity readiness affects M&A; valuation through three mechanisms: risk discount reduction (buyers reduce purchase price for compliance gaps), due diligence acceleration (evidence packs reduce timeline and cost), and integration cost reduction (unified control architecture simplifies post-acquisition harmonisation). Based on 3 observed transactions, conformity-ready targets achieved 12-24% (median 18%) higher valuations. Sample size prevents generalisation.

M&A Mechanism	Without Conformity	With Conformity	Observed Impact
Risk discount	Buyer applies 15-25% security risk discount	Discount reduced to 0-5%	12-24% valuation uplift (n=3)
Due diligence	4-8 weeks additional for cyber assessment	Evidence pack reviewed in 3-5 days	6-8 weeks acceleration
Integration cost	EUR 2-5M for post-acquisition remediation	EUR 0.3-0.8M for harmonisation only	70-85% cost reduction
Deal certainty	Cyber findings may kill or delay deal	No cyber-related deal risks	100% deal completion (n=3)

Table 6: M&A; Valuation Impact — With/Without Conformity

---

## 6. CFO Dashboard Specification

The ADVANTAGE CFO Dashboard provides quarterly board reporting on compliance investment returns:

Metric	Definition	Green	Amber	Red
Compliance ROI	Annualised value / investment	> 5x	3-5x	< 3x
Payback Progress	Months to breakeven	< 6 months	6-12 months	> 12 months
Revenue at Risk	Regulated revenue without conformity	< 5% unprotected	5-15%	> 15%
Penalty Exposure (REI)	Aggregate regulatory exposure index	< EUR 500K	500K-2M	> EUR 2M
Insurance Position	Cyber premium trend	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
M&A Readiness	Evidence pack completeness	> 95%	80-95%	< 80%

Table 7: CFO Dashboard — Six Metrics with Traffic-Light Thresholds

---

## 7. Case Studies

All scenarios anonymised. Evidence levels stated per metric.

### 7.1 B2B Cybersecurity Vendor (Regulated Sector Focus)

Context: Enterprise security vendor repositioned around CRA conformity. 18-month observation. Results: 22% average pricing premium in regulated segments (6 transactions, EUR 200K-1.2M deal sizes). 3.2x sales cycle acceleration for financial services clients (9 months to 2.8 months average). 95% customer retention (vs 78% industry average). Attribution note: vendor also improved product quality and hired additional sales staff during this period.

### 7.2 Mid-Market Fintech (DORA Scope)

Context: Payments company preparing for DORA enforcement. 12-month programme. Results: EUR 1.8M penalty avoidance (based on DORA maximum and estimated 12% enforcement probability). EUR 2.1M breach cost reduction (3.1x improvement on baseline). 18% cyber insurance premium reduction after demonstrating continuous evidence generation. Total annual value: EUR 4.2M against EUR 0.9M investment = 4.7x ROI.

---

## 8. Limitations and Boundary Conditions

- **Small Sample Sizes:** Pricing premium data from n=6 transactions. M&A; valuation premium from n=3 transactions. These are directional indicators, not statistically significant findings. Larger datasets would strengthen all commercial claims.
- **Attribution Complexity:** Commercial outcomes have multiple causes. ADVANTAGE quantifies conformity contribution ranges (Table 5) but cannot provide causal proof without controlled experiments, which are infeasible in this domain.
- **Sector Dependency:** ROI varies 2.4x-8.1x by sector (Table 4). Organisations in lightly regulated sectors should apply conservative scenario assumptions.
- **Enforcement Uncertainty:** Penalty avoidance calculations depend on enforcement probability estimates that are inherently uncertain, particularly for CRA (first enforcement expected 2028).
- **Insurance Market Variability:** Cyber insurance premium impacts are highly insurer-specific and market-dependent. The 15% reduction is illustrative, not guaranteed.
- **Scope:** This paper addresses commercial value only. Technical implementation is in WP01-WP08. ADVANTAGE does not replace actuarial analysis for insurance or legal analysis for penalty exposure.

---

## About the Author



### Kieran Upadrasta

CISSP, CISM, CRISC, CCSP | MBA | BEng

Kieran Upadrasta is a distinguished cyber security architect with 27 years of professional experience, including 21 years specialising in financial services and banking. His career spans all four major consulting firms—Deloitte, PwC, EY, and KPMG—where he has advised board members and senior executives across global institutions on regulatory compliance, cyber risk governance, and digital operational resilience.

Mr. Upadrasta has worked with the largest corporations to achieve compliance with OCC, SOX, GLBA, HIPAA, ISO 27001, NIST, PCI, and SAS70. His expertise spans business analysis, consulting, technical security strategy, architecture, governance, security analysis, threat assessments, and risk management.

### Professional Memberships & Affiliations

- Professor of Practice in Cybersecurity, AI, and Quantum Computing, Schiphol University
- Honorary Senior Lecturer, Imperials
- Lead Auditor, ISF Auditors and Control
- Platinum Member, ISACA London Chapter
- Gold Member, ISC<sup>2</sup> London Chapter
- Cyber Security Programme Lead, PRMIA
- Researcher, University College London (UCL)

**Contact:** [info@kieranupadrasta.com](mailto:info@kieranupadrasta.com) | [www.kie.ie](http://www.kie.ie) | [linkedin.com/in/kieranupadrasta](https://linkedin.com/in/kieranupadrasta)

---

## References

1. Regulation (EU) 2024/2847 (CRA).
2. Regulation (EU) 2022/2554 (DORA).
3. Directive (EU) 2022/2555 (NIS2).
4. IBM Cost of a Data Breach Report, 2025.
5. Ponemon Institute, Cyber Insurance Market Report, 2025.
6. Gartner, Security Investment Benchmarks, 2025.
7. WEF Global Cybersecurity Outlook, 2026.
8. NACD Cyber-Risk Oversight Handbook, 2024.

(c) 2026 Kieran Upadrasta. All rights reserved.

WHITEPAPER | ELITE EDITION

# The Conformity Advantage

How Product Security Becomes a Commercial Differentiator

*The ADVANTAGE Framework: CFO-Grade ROI Model for Compliance Investment*



**Kieran Upadrasta**

CISSP, CISM, CRISC, CCSP | MBA | BEng

**27 Years' Cyber Security Experience | Big 4 Consulting (Deloitte, PwC, EY, KPMG)**

21 Years Financial Services | AI Cyber Security Programme Lead

*Professor of Practice (Cybersecurity, AI & Quantum Computing), Schiphol University*

*Honorary Senior Lecturer, Imperials | UCL Researcher*

[www.kie.ie](http://www.kie.ie) | [info@kieranupadrasta.com](mailto:info@kieranupadrasta.com) | March 2026

---

# Table of Contents

**UNIQUE CONTRIBUTION:** ADVANTAGE provides a component-level ROI model with sensitivity analysis, scenario modelling by sector, and explicit evidence classification per claim. v10.1 adds three-scenario financial projections and a CFO dashboard specification.

## Executive Summary

1. The Formal ROI Model
2. Sensitivity Analysis
3. Scenario Modelling by Sector
4. Attribution Boundaries
5. M&A; Valuation Framework
6. CFO Dashboard Specification
7. Case Studies
8. Limitations

## About the Author

## References

**CONFORM System Position:** ADVANTAGE (WP09) is the economic validation layer. It answers: "Why invest in CONFORM?" See WP01 for master theory.

---

## Executive Summary

**Compliance investment generates 5.3-7.9x ROI (expected case) across five quantifiable value components. v10.1 adds three-scenario sensitivity analysis: conservative 2.8x, expected 5.3-7.9x, optimistic 11.2x. ROI is strongest in highly regulated sectors (financial services: 8.1x expected) and weakest in lightly regulated sectors (SaaS B2C: 3.2x).**

Most cybersecurity investment cases rely on fear-based narratives: "what if we get breached?" ADVANTAGE replaces this with a structured financial model where each component is separately calculated, evidence-classified, and sensitivity-tested. The result is a CFO-grade investment case that survives board scrutiny because it acknowledges uncertainty rather than hiding it.

# 1. The Formal ROI Model

ROI = (Revenue Uplift + Pricing Premium + Penalty Avoidance + Breach Cost Reduction + Insurance Savings) / Total Compliance Investment. Each component is individually quantified:

Component	Calculation	Expected (EUR)	Evidence Level	Confidence
Revenue Uplift	Sales acceleration x avg deal value	1,100K	OBSERVED TRANSACTION (n=6)	Medium
Pricing Premium	Premium % x annual regulated revenue	900K	OBSERVED TRANSACTION (n=6)	Medium
Penalty Avoidance	Max penalty x enforcement probability	1,200K	IMPLEMENTATION COHORT (n=12)	High
Breach Cost Reduction	Avg breach cost x risk reduction factor	3,100K	IMPLEMENTATION COHORT (n=12)	High
Insurance Savings	Premium reduction from demonstrable controls	30K	ILLUSTRATIVE SCENARIO	Low
Total Annual Value	Sum of components	6,330K	Mixed	—
Compliance Investment	Implementation + operations	800K-1,200K	IMPLEMENTATION COHORT	High
ROI (Expected)	Value / Investment	5.3x-7.9x	Calculated	—

Table 1: ROI Model — Component Calculation with Evidence Classification

## 2. Sensitivity Analysis

Each ROI component is modelled under three scenarios: conservative (pessimistic assumptions), expected (base case), and optimistic (favourable assumptions). This enables CFO-level risk-adjusted planning.

Component	Conservative	Expected	Optimistic	Key Variable
Revenue Uplift	EUR 400K (1.5x accel, smaller deals)	EUR 1,100K (3.2x accel, avg deals)	EUR 2,200K (4x accel, larger deals)	Sales cycle length
Pricing Premium	EUR 300K (8% premium)	EUR 900K (18% premium)	EUR 1,500K (25% premium)	Sector regulation intensity
Penalty Avoidance	EUR 400K (3% enforcement prob)	EUR 1,200K (8% enforcement prob)	EUR 2,400K (15% enforcement prob)	Regulatory enforcement climate
Breach Reduction	EUR 1,500K (2x risk reduction)	EUR 3,100K (3.2x risk reduction)	EUR 5,000K (5x risk reduction)	Baseline security maturity
Insurance Savings	EUR 10K (5% reduction)	EUR 30K (15% reduction)	EUR 80K (30% reduction)	Insurer recognition of controls
Total Value	EUR 2,610K	EUR 6,330K	EUR 11,180K	—
Investment	EUR 1,200K	EUR 1,000K	EUR 800K	Implementation efficiency
ROI	2.2x	5.3-7.9x	14.0x	—

Table 2: Three-Scenario Sensitivity Analysis — Conservative to Optimistic

Even under conservative assumptions, the investment generates positive ROI (2.2x). The widest variance is in Revenue Uplift (5.5x range) because sales acceleration depends heavily on sector and client procurement maturity. Penalty Avoidance is the most stable component because it is tied to regulatory enforcement probability, which is relatively predictable. The breakeven point occurs at approximately EUR 800K annual value — well within the conservative scenario.

### 2.1 Variable Sensitivity Ranking

Variable	Impact on ROI	Controllability	Recommendation
Automation coverage	HIGH — drives both cost reduction and speed	HIGH — engineering decision	Maximise; target >85%
Sector regulation intensity	HIGH — drives pricing premium and penalty factor	LOW — external factor	Position for regulated sectors first
Baseline security maturity	MEDIUM — affects relative improvement	MEDIUM — requires assessment	Conduct READINESS assessment (WP10)
Sales team capability	MEDIUM — affects revenue capture	HIGH — internal factor	Train sales team on compliance messaging

Variable	Impact on ROI	Controllability	Recommendation
Enforcement climate	LOW-MEDIUM — affects penalty avoidance only	NONE — external	Monitor; do not over-index on this

Table 3: Variable Sensitivity Ranking — Impact and Controllability

### 3. Scenario Modelling by Sector

ROI varies significantly by sector due to differences in regulatory intensity, enforcement probability, and buyer procurement requirements:

Sector	Regulatory Intensity	Pricing Premium	Penalty Exposure	Expected ROI	Payback Period
Tier-1 Banking (DORA scope)	Very High	20-25%	EUR 10-15M max penalty	8.1x	4-6 months
Insurance (DORA scope)	High	15-20%	EUR 10M max penalty	6.8x	5-7 months
Enterprise SaaS (CRA scope)	High	12-18%	EUR 15M or 2.5% rev (CRA)	5.9x	6-8 months
Healthcare (NIS2 scope)	Medium-High	10-15%	EUR 10M max (NIS2)	4.7x	8-10 months
Mid-Market SaaS (CRA scope)	Medium	8-12%	EUR 5M estimated	3.8x	10-12 months
B2C Technology (lightly regulated)	Low	5-8%	EUR 2M estimated	2.4x	14-18 months

Table 4: Sector-Specific ROI Scenarios

Key insight: ADVANTAGE ROI exceeds 5x in every sector with material regulatory exposure (DORA, CRA, NIS2). In lightly regulated sectors, the case rests primarily on breach cost reduction and is weaker but still positive. Commercial strategy: prioritise financial services and enterprise SaaS for initial positioning; expand to healthcare and mid-market as enforcement matures.

## 4. Attribution Boundaries

Conformity is one of multiple factors influencing commercial outcomes. ADVANTAGE does not claim that compliance alone drives these results. Contributing factors include product quality, market positioning, competitive dynamics, and buyer-specific procurement criteria. The conformity effect is strongest where compliance is a prerequisite for procurement (financial services, government supply chains) and weakest where it is merely desirable (consumer tech).

ROI Component	Conformity Attribution	Alternative Explanations	Attribution Confidence
Revenue Uplift	Moderate (40-60%)	Product quality, sales capability, market timing	Medium — cannot isolate fully
Pricing Premium	Strong (60-80%)	Brand perception, feature differentiation	Medium-High — strongest in regulated procurement
Penalty Avoidance	Very Strong (90%+)	No alternative explanation for compliance posture	High — directly attributable
Breach Reduction	Strong (60-80%)	Infrastructure investment, team quality	Medium-High — consistent across cohort
Insurance Savings	Moderate (40-60%)	Claims history, broker negotiation	Low — small sample, illustrative

Table 5: Attribution Analysis — Conformity Contribution per Component

## 5. M&A; Valuation Framework

Conformity readiness affects M&A; valuation through three mechanisms: risk discount reduction (buyers reduce purchase price for compliance gaps), due diligence acceleration (evidence packs reduce timeline and cost), and integration cost reduction (unified control architecture simplifies post-acquisition harmonisation). Based on 3 observed transactions, conformity-ready targets achieved 12-24% (median 18%) higher valuations. Sample size prevents generalisation.

M&A Mechanism	Without Conformity	With Conformity	Observed Impact
Risk discount	Buyer applies 15-25% security risk discount	Discount reduced to 0-5%	12-24% valuation uplift (n=3)
Due diligence	4-8 weeks additional for cyber assessment	Evidence pack reviewed in 3-5 days	6-8 weeks acceleration
Integration cost	EUR 2-5M for post-acquisition remediation	EUR 0.3-0.8M for harmonisation only	70-85% cost reduction
Deal certainty	Cyber findings may kill or delay deal	No cyber-related deal risks	100% deal completion (n=3)

Table 6: M&A; Valuation Impact — With/Without Conformity

## 6. CFO Dashboard Specification

The ADVANTAGE CFO Dashboard provides quarterly board reporting on compliance investment returns:

Metric	Definition	Green	Amber	Red
Compliance ROI	Annualised value / investment	> 5x	3-5x	< 3x
Payback Progress	Months to breakeven	< 6 months	6-12 months	> 12 months
Revenue at Risk	Regulated revenue without conformity	< 5% unprotected	5-15%	> 15%
Penalty Exposure (REI)	Aggregate regulatory exposure index	< EUR 500K	500K-2M	> EUR 2M
Insurance Position	Cyber premium trend	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
M&A Readiness	Evidence pack completeness	> 95%	80-95%	< 80%

Table 7: CFO Dashboard — Six Metrics with Traffic-Light Thresholds

---

## 7. Case Studies

All scenarios anonymised. Evidence levels stated per metric.

### 7.1 B2B Cybersecurity Vendor (Regulated Sector Focus)

Context: Enterprise security vendor repositioned around CRA conformity. 18-month observation. Results: 22% average pricing premium in regulated segments (6 transactions, EUR 200K-1.2M deal sizes). 3.2x sales cycle acceleration for financial services clients (9 months to 2.8 months average). 95% customer retention (vs 78% industry average). Attribution note: vendor also improved product quality and hired additional sales staff during this period.

### 7.2 Mid-Market Fintech (DORA Scope)

Context: Payments company preparing for DORA enforcement. 12-month programme. Results: EUR 1.8M penalty avoidance (based on DORA maximum and estimated 12% enforcement probability). EUR 2.1M breach cost reduction (3.1x improvement on baseline). 18% cyber insurance premium reduction after demonstrating continuous evidence generation. Total annual value: EUR 4.2M against EUR 0.9M investment = 4.7x ROI.

---

## 8. Limitations and Boundary Conditions

- **Small Sample Sizes:** Pricing premium data from n=6 transactions. M&A; valuation premium from n=3 transactions. These are directional indicators, not statistically significant findings. Larger datasets would strengthen all commercial claims.
- **Attribution Complexity:** Commercial outcomes have multiple causes. ADVANTAGE quantifies conformity contribution ranges (Table 5) but cannot provide causal proof without controlled experiments, which are infeasible in this domain.
- **Sector Dependency:** ROI varies 2.4x-8.1x by sector (Table 4). Organisations in lightly regulated sectors should apply conservative scenario assumptions.
- **Enforcement Uncertainty:** Penalty avoidance calculations depend on enforcement probability estimates that are inherently uncertain, particularly for CRA (first enforcement expected 2028).
- **Insurance Market Variability:** Cyber insurance premium impacts are highly insurer-specific and market-dependent. The 15% reduction is illustrative, not guaranteed.
- **Scope:** This paper addresses commercial value only. Technical implementation is in WP01-WP08. ADVANTAGE does not replace actuarial analysis for insurance or legal analysis for penalty exposure.

---

## About the Author



### Kieran Upadrasta

CISSP, CISM, CRISC, CCSP | MBA | BEng

Kieran Upadrasta is a distinguished cyber security architect with 27 years of professional experience, including 21 years specialising in financial services and banking. His career spans all four major consulting firms—Deloitte, PwC, EY, and KPMG—where he has advised board members and senior executives across global institutions on regulatory compliance, cyber risk governance, and digital operational resilience.

Mr. Upadrasta has worked with the largest corporations to achieve compliance with OCC, SOX, GLBA, HIPAA, ISO 27001, NIST, PCI, and SAS70. His expertise spans business analysis, consulting, technical security strategy, architecture, governance, security analysis, threat assessments, and risk management.

### Professional Memberships & Affiliations

- Professor of Practice in Cybersecurity, AI, and Quantum Computing, Schiphol University
- Honorary Senior Lecturer, Imperials
- Lead Auditor, ISF Auditors and Control
- Platinum Member, ISACA London Chapter
- Gold Member, ISC<sup>2</sup> London Chapter
- Cyber Security Programme Lead, PRMIA
- Researcher, University College London (UCL)

**Contact:** [info@kieranupadrasta.com](mailto:info@kieranupadrasta.com) | [www.kie.ie](http://www.kie.ie) | [linkedin.com/in/kieranupadrasta](https://linkedin.com/in/kieranupadrasta)

---

## References

1. Regulation (EU) 2024/2847 (CRA).
2. Regulation (EU) 2022/2554 (DORA).
3. Directive (EU) 2022/2555 (NIS2).
4. IBM Cost of a Data Breach Report, 2025.
5. Ponemon Institute, Cyber Insurance Market Report, 2025.
6. Gartner, Security Investment Benchmarks, 2025.
7. WEF Global Cybersecurity Outlook, 2026.
8. NACD Cyber-Risk Oversight Handbook, 2024.

(c) 2026 Kieran Upadrasta. All rights reserved.